

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/717,700	10/717,700 11/19/2003		Takahiro Nakamura	10921.188US01	8869		
23552	7590	05/10/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
MERCHAI	NT & GOU	LD PC	NGUYEN, HA T				
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2812			
			DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	 _				
Office Action Summary		7,700	NAKAMURA, TAI	NAKAMURA, TAKAHIRO				
		ner	Art Unit					
·	•	Nguyen	2812					
The MAILING DATE of this comi Period for Reply	nunication appears on	the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than thi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no communication. rty (30) days, a reply within the m statutory period will apply an reply will, by statute, cause the oths after the mailing date of thi	statutory minimum of this d will expire SIX (6) MON application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status	•							
1) Responsive to communication(s	filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL.	•							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to resulting and subject to resul	is/are withdrawn from			•				
Application Papers	_)						
9)☐ The specification is objected to b	the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any o								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu 11) The oath or declaration is objected.				7 7				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a) Acknowledgment is made of a classification at the prior of the certified copies of the prior of the certified copies of the prior of the certified copies of the certi	f: rity documents have b rity documents have b ies of the priority docu ational Bureau (PCT F	peen received. Deen received in A Diments have been Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)								
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revies Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT0 	0-152)				

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC. § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103 and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujiwara et al. (USPN 5938797, hereinafter "Fujiwara") in view of Sano et al. (JPN 7-282802, hereinafter "Sano").

Referring to Figs. 2a, 3, and related text, Fujiwara discloses a method of making a capacitor element used for a solid electrolyte capacitor, the method comprising the steps of forming, on an anode chip 1 of valve metal, a dielectric layer 4, a first solid electrolyte layer of manganese dioxide 5, a graphite layer 7a and a metal layer 8a in this order, wherein the method further comprises the step of forming an intermediate solid electrolyte layer 6 between the step of forming the first solid electrolyte layer and the step of forming the graphite layer, the intermediate solid electrolyte layer being formed by applying and sintering of a manganese nitrate aqueous solution graphite powder (See col. 4, lines 10-33).

But it fails to disclose expressly the manganese nitrate aqueous solution containing 0.5-2.0wt% of graphite powder.

However, the missing limitation is well known in the art because Sano discloses this feature (See Constitution).

A person of ordinary skill is motivated to modify Fujiwara with Sano to obtain excellent moldability and prevention of peeling off.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Fujiwara with Sano to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

3. Claim 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujiwara in view of Hanawa et al. (USPN 5938798, hereinafter "Hanawa").

Referring to Figs. Figs. 2a, 3, and related text, discloses a method of making a capacitor element used for a solid electrolyte capacitor, the method comprising the steps of forming, on an anode chip of valve metal 1, a dielectric layer 4, a solid electrolyte layer manganese dioxide 5, a first graphite layer 7a and a metal layer 8a in this order, wherein the method further comprises the step of forming an intermediate graphite layer 6 between the step of forming the solid electrolyte layer and the step of forming the first graphite layer.

But it fails to disclose expressly the intermediate graphite layer being formed of a graphite material containing manganese dioxide powder and wherein the step of forming the intermediate graphite layer includes applying of a graphite solution that contains 5-10wt% of manganese dioxide powder and drying of the applied solution.

However, the missing limitations are well known in the art because Hanawa discloses solid electrolyte of graphite material containing manganese dioxide (See col.7, lines 29-59). Besides, in the combined teaching of Fujiwara and Hanawa it would have been obvious to have an intermediate layer with gradually increasing graphite concentration between manganese dioxide and graphite layer, including portion with 5-10wt% of manganese dioxide powder, to have better adhesion.

A person of ordinary skill is motivated to modify Fujiwara with Hanawa to obtain capacitor with improved characteristics

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Fujiwara with Hanawa to obtain the invention as specified in claims 2-3.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ha T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM, except the first Friday of each bi-week. The telephone number for Wednesday is (703) 560-0528.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael S. Lebentritt, can be reached on (571) 272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ha Nguyen

Primary Examiner

4-29-05